

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/015,444	Applicant(s) SUGGS ET AL.	
	Examiner William L. Miller	Art Unit 3677	

All Participants:

(1) William L. Miller.

(2) Carl Davis II.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

1-24

Prior art documents discussed:
Suggs (US#5785322); Koch (US#5913522); Allen (US#5275423); Shane (US#3404061)
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 1-15 were canceled. Claim 16 was amended to overcome the prior art of record. New claims 21-24 were added as claim 21 includes the allowable subject matter of claim 16.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

WILLIAM L. MILLER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)